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Attorney’s Name

Attorney’s Bar Number

Attorney’s Firm Name

Attorney’s Address

Attorney’s Phone Number

Attorney’s E-mail Address

Party Attorney Represents

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| \*,  Plaintiff(s),  v.  \*, et al.,  Defendant(s). | CASE NO.  DEPT NO.  Date of Hearing: \*, 20\_\_  Time of Hearing: \_\_\_\_\_ a.m. |

**DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER’S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

INSTRUCTIONS: SUBMITTING COUNSEL TO FILL OUT THE INFORMATION REQUESTED IN YELLOW BELOW.

Party/Attorney appearing for Plaintiff(s): [LIST]

Party/Attorney appearing Defendant(s): [LIST]

On [HEARING DATE], the parties to the above-captioned matter appeared before the Honorable Discovery Commissioner [Erin Truman / Adam Ganz] by and through their counsel listed above, on Movant’s [INSERT FULL TITLE OF MOTION TO COMPEL] (the “Motion”). The Court reviewed the Motion and [LIST ALL OTHER PLEADINGS], and entertained oral argument made by the parties. For good cause appearing, the Discovery Commissioner hereby makes the following findings and recommendations:
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1. **FINDINGS**

“Parties may obtain discovery regarding any nonprivileged matter that is relevant to any party’s claims or defenses and proportional to the needs of the case.” NRCP 26(b). Evidence is relevant if it has any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence more or less probable than it would be absent the evidence. NRS 48.015. Evidence need not be admissible to be discoverable. NRCP 26(b).

However, relevance is not the only criteria for permitting discovery and discovery requests. For discovery to be allowed, it must be **both** relevant to a party’s claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case. NRCP 26(b)(1). Only relevant information that is proportional and probative on the actual claims and defenses in the case is discoverable. When analyzing whether proposed discovery is proportional, the court must consider and weigh 1) the importance of the issues at stake in the action; 2) the amount in controversy; 3) the parties’ relative access to relevant information; 4) the parties’ resources; 5) the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues; and 6) whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit. *Venetian Casino Resort, LLC v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Ct.*, 136 Nev. 221, 467 P.3d 1 (2020).

In the instant matter, [Movant] argued the [discovery at issue] is relevant to the [claim(s)/defenses of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_]. In the instant matter, [Movant] argued the [discovery at issue] is proportional to the needs of the case as follows:

[SUMMARIZE – addressing each of the factors identified in the *Venetian* case and NRCP 26(b)(1) ***(apply and discuss this factor*)]**

**1. The importance of the issues at stake in the action:**
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**2. The amount in controversy:**

**3. The parties’ relative access to relevant information:**

**4. The parties’ resources:**

**5. The importance of the discovery in resolving the issues:**

**6. Whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit:**

In response, [NON-MOVING PARTY] argued [SUMMARIZE].

The court adopts [PREVAILING PARTY’s] analysis as set forth below:

[SUMMARIZE the PREVAILING PARTY’S ANALYSIS THAT WAS ADOPTED BY THE COURT]

The court finds [PREVAILING PARTY] has demonstrated the [DISCOVERY AT ISSUE] [is or is not] proportional to the needs of the case as set forth herein and the [DISCOVERY AT ISSUE IS COMPELLED or PROTECTED].

1. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED [INSERT RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE UNDERLYING MOTION]

The Discovery Commissioner, having met with counsel for the parties, discussed the issues noted above, and having reviewed any materials proposed in support thereof, hereby submits the above recommendations.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

THE HONORABLE (ERIN TRUMAN/ADAM GANZ)

DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER

[CASE NAME AND CASE NUMBER]
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[CASE NAME AND CASE NUMBER]

Submitted by:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney’s Name

Attorney’s Firm Name

Attorney’s Address

Attorney’s E-mail Address

Counsel for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Approved as to form and content by:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney’s Name

Attorney’s Firm Name

Attorney’s Address

Attorney’s E-mail Address

Counsel for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
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**N O T I C E**

Pursuant to NRCP 16.3(c)(2), you are hereby notified that within fourteen (14) days after being served with a report any party may file and serve written objections to the recommendations. Written authorities may be filed with objections, but are not mandatory. If written authorities are filed, any other party may file and serve responding authorities within seven (7) days after being served with objections.

**Objection time will expire on\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_20\_\_.**

A copy of the foregoing Discovery Commissioner's Report was:

\_\_\_\_\_ Mailed by United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_

to the parties listed below at their last known address(es):

\_\_\_\_\_ Electronically e-filed and e-served to all registered counsel and parties on

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_, Pursuant to N.E.F.C.R. Rule 9.

By:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

COMMISSIONER DESIGNEE
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ORDCR

Attorney’s Name

Attorney’s Bar Number

Attorney’s Firm Name

Attorney’s Address

Attorney’s Phone Number

Attorney’s E-mail Address

Party Attorney Represents

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| \*,  Plaintiff(s),  v.  \*, et al.,  Defendant(s). | CASE NO.  DEPT NO.  HEARING DATE:  HEARING TIME: |

ORDER

RE: DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER’S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The court, having reviewed the above report and recommendations prepared by the Discovery Commissioner and,

\_\_\_\_\_ No timely objection having been filed,

\_\_\_\_\_ After reviewing the objections to the Report and Recommendations and good cause

appearing,

\* \* \*
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CASE NAME:

CASE NO:

AND

\_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and

Recommendations are affirmed and adopted.

\_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and

Recommendations are affirmed and adopted as modified in the following manner.

(attached hereto)

\_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this matter is remanded to the Discovery Commissioner for

reconsideration or further action.

\_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a hearing on the Discovery Commissioner's Report is

set for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_, at \_\_\_\_\_\_:\_\_\_\_\_\_ a.m.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
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